

CQC Report – Summary People Scrutiny



middlesbrough.gov.uk

Recap

- LAIR (local Authority Inspection return) submitted 11th June
- Onsite inspection- end Oct
- Draft report 11th Dec
- Final report 21st February
- *Nb concerns expressed regarding inspection process nationally*



Assessment

Quality Statements	Assessing Needs	Supporting People to love healthier Lives	Equity in experience & outcomes	Care provision, Integration and continuity	Partnerships and Communities	Safe Systems, pathways and transitions	Safeguarding	Governance, management & Sustainability	Learning Improvement and Innovation
Evidence categories									
People's experience	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	3
Feedback from staff & leaders	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Feedback from partners	2	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	2
Processes	2	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2
Overall % Qs score	57	69	57	57	69	75	69	57	57

Mide

middlesbrough.gov.uk

Score & Rating

Evidence was gathered in 4 key areas:

- Peoples Experience
- Feedback from staff & Leaders
- Feedback from Partners
- Processes.

Overall % key

- 25-38% Inadequate
- 39-62% Requires Improvement
- 63-87% Good
- >88% Outstanding

Our Score 62% Rating – "Requires Improvement" (1% point from "Good")



Overarching Summary

They recognised the Key Challenges in which we work:

- Workforce shortages affecting service delivery, with staff overwhelmed by workloads.
- **Inequality in access** to services, particularly among ethnically diverse and disadvantaged communities.
- Housing challenges, including insufficient adapted accommodations for people with disabilities.
- **Delayed implementation of strategic initiatives**, such as the '3 Conversations' approach and the coproduction framework.
- Financial constraints, with overspending on adult social care beyond initial budget estimates.



Overall Summary – People Experience

Positives

- Overall people were satisfied with their experience of receiving support
- People said they received support to enable them to stay at home longer
- Most people experienced a positive journey as they moved between services
- People felt safe with services received

Areas for Improvement

- People experienced long waiting times when first contacting us
- Unpaid carers spoke of negative experiences – not being given information they could understand
- Carers options not being explained to them fully



Overall Summary

- We know ourself and the people of Middlesbrough well
- Staff Positivity teams well established, long servicing staff, valued & motivated
- DASS leadership was visible & approachable. Staff felt listened to.
- Ambitious approach to transformation
- A focus on prevention and reducing reliance on services
- People felt safe and robust policies and procedures are in place
- TSAB was working well
- Good approach to transitions
- Positive links with Public Health
- Reference to opportunity of Community hubs



Overarching Summary

Areas for Improvement

- **Significant waiting** times are impacting on peoples outcomes, including for those waiting for a planned review.
- Unpaid carers large waiting lists, lack of communication
- **Housing availability** particularly for those presenting as homeless / and people who required accessible adapted accommodation.
- Equality, diversity and inclusion was not embedded at a strategic level no clear strategy on how we engaged with all communities
- No defined plan around "co-production". Providers did not feel involved in "co-production"
- Lack of assurance at CEO level with regard to obligation's relating to the Care Act and safeguarding
- Lack of ownership corporately with regard to ASC though signs this is changing
- Scrutiny & Data data development n early stages, there is a need to create process to share with frontline staff. Gaps in data were noted.



Theme 1

How the Local Authority Works with People



Theme 1 – Positive Feedback

- People felt supported to remain in their own homes for as long as possible.
- Pathways and processes ensured people's support was planned and co-ordinated across different agencies and services
- Assessments followed a strength-based, person-centred approach.
- Staff demonstrated a good understanding of their responsibilities under the Care Act.
- The introduction of the '3 Conversations' model aimed to improve care planning.
- People had access to independent advocacy services when required.
- The local authority had multiple communication channels for information access.
- Community hubs provided an effective space for engaging with residents.
- The majority of service users felt safe and well-supported.
- Carers had access to direct payments and financial support.
- Initiatives were in place to improve digital accessibility.
- Staff in the finance team were supportive and informative
- Opportunities were clear in regard to specialist training, practice development and career development.
- Preventative services were having a positive impact on well-being outcomes for people
- Providers said we were good at disseminating information to people



Theme 1 – Areas for Improvement

- Reduce waiting times for assessments and care reviews. -Most people said they did not receive a timely or accurate response. They identified inconsistences across the directorate in regard to waiting lists.
- Improve communication channels Providers said they struggled to contact frontline social workers, and people said that contacting by telephone was difficult
- Strengthen coproduction efforts to involve users in decision-making.
- Enhance accessibility of services for diverse communities.
- Provide clearer information about carers' support options. carers responses were mixed with some stating they had no choice in the options of support.
- Expand digital inclusion initiatives.
- Improve service navigation through clearer guidance. people stated there was poor communication between teams.
- Ensure care planning is fully personalised. they stated peoples human rights were not always respected as decisions were sometimes financially driven.
- Establish a feedback system to track service user concerns.
- There was no system in place for completing statutory reviews



Theme 2

Providing Support



Theme 2 - Positives

- The local authority had strong partnerships with public health services / ICB /HWB/ BCF
- Community-based services were beginning to be developed Community hubs / neighbourhood working
- Prevention and early intervention were a focus of service provision.- Staying Included
- People had access to a diverse range of local support options that were safe, effective, affordable and high quality.
- Commissioning staff supported new & innovative approaches leading to better outcomes
- People had access to reablement and rehabilitation services.
- Integrated posts with the ICB, including production partnerships Transfer of Care hub / Frailty Team
- The voluntary sector was engaged in specific areas of service delivery.
- The workforce was committed and engaged despite recruitment challenges.- we understand our workforce challenges
- The quality assurance framework ensured high quality, evidence based practice



Theme 2 – Areas for Improvement

- A need to further improve integration between health and social care services. particular focus on advocacy
- Address workforce shortages with targeted recruitment and retention initiatives.
- Need to progress housing alternatives and options
- Identified need to engage community more for service developments
- Reduce waiting times for essential care services.
- Develop more flexible, community-based care options- gaps such as sitting service.
- Expand preventative care services further.
- Improve commissioning frameworks for clarity and efficiency. spot contracts not the most efficient way of contracting
- Strengthen quality assurance mechanisms for service providers.
- Enhance partnerships with voluntary and community organisations.
- Not always sufficient care and support to meet demand and people could not always access it when, where and how they needed it.



Theme 3

How the Local Authority Ensures Safety within the System



Theme 3 - Positives

- The local authority understood the risks to people across their care journeys risks were identified and managed proactively.
- The local authority had robust safeguarding policies and procedures.
- Care and support were planned and organised with people, together with partners in ways that improved their safety across their care journey.
- Multi-agency collaboration was evident in safeguarding responses.
- The local authority had strong risk management frameworks in place.
- Community hubs were improving early identification of safeguarding issues.
- The workforce had access to safeguarding training and resources.
- Advocacy services supported people in navigating safeguarding concerns.
- The safeguarding board was actively working on regional collaboration.
- The local authority had improved data monitoring of safeguarding cases.
- Carers and families were increasingly included in safeguarding discussions.



Theme 3 – Areas for Improvement

- Strengthen safeguarding arrangement relating to feedback of outcomes / communications
- Improve access to advocacy services for safeguarding cases.
- Enhance data analytics to track safeguarding risks.



Theme 4

Leadership



Theme 4 - Positives

- The Director of Adult Social Care was highly respected and well-established.
- Staff felt supported and valued by senior leadership.
- The local authority had a clear commitment to service transformation.
- Corporate governance improvements were being implemented.
- There was recognition of the need to improve strategic planning.
- Financial forecasting and market shaping efforts were underway.
- Partnerships with external organisations were strengthening.
- Staff training and professional development were prioritised.
- Performance monitoring was being developed to improve oversight.
- The local authority had arrangements in place for data security, availability, integrity and confidentiality.
- Scheme of delegation good mechanism to monitor practice standards and identify training requirements.
- Supervisions held regularly, practice support forum in place and audits regularly conducted
- The Lead Member for Adult Social Care was described as community minded, approachable, and very invested in adult social care.



Theme 4 – Areas for Improvement

- Strengthen governance structures and assurance mechanisms not much a of corporate view on ASC performance
- Improve transparency in decision-making processes.
- Enhance data utilisation for performance monitoring and strategic planning assessment / review / outcome recording
- The backlog of annual reviews was a concern
- Strengthen financial planning to ensure sustainable service modernisation.
- Develop stronger partnerships with service providers to foster innovation.
- Expand coproduction efforts with service users and community stakeholders.
- Distribute leadership responsibilities more effectively to reduce reliance on key individuals
- Improvement required in our work with carers including improved engagement and feedback mechanisms. carers reported they felt assessments are more of a tick box exercise however work was in early stages of a more conversational approach.
- Interim CEO not aware of risk level for ASC no formal mechanism of reporting feedback to CEO



Overarching Summary

Areas for Improvement

- **Significant waiting** times are impacting on peoples outcomes, including for those waiting for a planned review.
- Unpaid carers large waiting lists, lack of communication
- **Housing availability** particularly for those presenting as homeless / and people who required accessible adapted accommodation.
- Equality, diversity and inclusion was not embedded at a strategic level no clear strategy on how we engaged with all communities
- No defined plan around "co-production". Providers did not feel involved in "co-production"
- Lack of assurance at CEO level with regard to obligation's relating to the Care Act and safeguarding
- Lack of ownership corporately with regard to ASC though signs this is changing
- Scrutiny & Data data development n early stages, there is a need to create process to share with frontline staff. Gaps in data were noted.



What do we mean by...Co Production

Co-production in an adult social care setting refers to a collaborative approach where people who use services, their families, carers, professionals, and organisations work **together** to design, deliver, and evaluate social care services. Rather than decisions being made solely by professionals, coproduction ensures that **service users have an equal voice** in shaping services that affect them.



What are we already doing....

- 1. Developing a clear 'Vision and Strategy' for Adult Social Care
- 2. Developing our Equality, Diversity and Inclusion measures supported by the LGA
- 3. Developing a Workforce Strategy supported by the LGA
- 4. Implementing the 3 conversations approach
- 5. Considering our approach to co-production
- 6. Considering what options are available to positively transform the way we work

An improvement programme will be developed which will be aligned to the CQC report and incorporate the above activity considering the areas of focus.



Next Steps

- **Expand workforce recruitment efforts**, reducing reliance on agency staff and increasing staff retention.
- Launch a refreshed EDI strategy, incorporating structured self-assessment tools such as 'Diverse by Design'.
- **Develop a clear coproduction strategy**, ensuring people with lived experience have a voice in service design.
- Enhance data-sharing practices, making performance insights accessible to frontline staff.
- **Improve scrutiny and accountability mechanisms**, ensuring that adult social care is prioritised at the highest levels of leadership.
- Focus on prevention and early intervention, reducing long-term demand for intensive social care services and consideration of neighbourhood working.



Monitoring

- 10 day response submitted
- Finalised action plan to People Scrutiny
- Monitoring at People Scrutiny Quarterly?
- Quarterly Monitoring DHSC

